

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME! Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARI

			· vva	emington, D.C. 202	31 - TO THADEWAM
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST I	NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
07/ 9 77, 70	02 11/13/9	1/92 PAPAYANNOPOULOU		Т	92.678
				EXAMINER	
		1091	10.000	TENG,	
ALLEGRETT	I AND WITCO	FF. ITD.	/0629		
TEN SOUTH	WACKER DRI	VE.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60606				7
				181	2 /
This is a communication				DATE MAILED:	
This is a communication from the COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS	examiner in charge of you AND TRADEMARKS	r application.			06/29/93
•		١.			
M -					
This application has been	examined	Responsive to comm	Unication filed on		This action is made final.
A shortened statutory needed					
A shortened statutory period : Failure to respond within the p	or response to this act	Ion is set to expire		h(s),Od	ays from the date of this letter.
	A SELECTION AND A SELECTION AND	cause the application		ed. 35 U.S.C. 13	3
Part ! THE POLLOWING	ATTACHMENT(8) ARE	PART OF THIS ACT	TON.		'
1. U Notice of Reference	es Clied by Cours				
TOUGH OF AIT CHAD	My Applicant Dro		2. Notice re F	Patent Drawing, PT() -948 .
5. Information on How	to Effect Drawing Cha	Inges, PTO-1474	4. U Notice of it	nformal Patent Appl)-948. Ication, Form PTO-152.
Part II SUMMARY OF AC			•		
The state of the s					•
1. 🙇 Claims	1-14				•
					are pending in the application
Of the above,	claims				withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims		_		are	withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims					have been cancelled.
3. Claims					
. N 1-11	·1				are allowed.
4. 🖾 Claims _ / - / C	t				•
5. Claime					are rejected.
5. Claims			·		are objected to
6. Claims	<u></u>				are objected to.
- -			are a	subject to restriction	are objected to.
7. This application has t	seen filed with informal	drawings under 37 C	FR 185 which are a		
8. D Formal dissulation			1.00 WINCH BIP 8	cceptable for exam:	nation purposes.
8. Formal drawings are	required in response to	this Office action.			
9. The corrected or subs	ilitute drawince hove b				
are acceptable.	not acceptable (see	sen received on		Under 37 C.F.F	R. 1.84 these drawings
	1000	A ANDIENBRION OF NOTIC			
10. The proposed addition examiner. disapposed	nal or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed or	1	=	
II. The proposed drawing					
11. The proposed drawing	COTTECTION, filed on	, h	as been 🔲 approve	d. 🛘 disapproved	1 (see explanation)
 Acknowledgment is ma been filed in paren 	ade of the claim for only	Ority under U.S.C.		_	- 1 explanation).
Deen filed in person	f application	y wilder U.S.C. 119	. The certified copy ha	as 🗆 been receive	ed 🔲 not been received
			; filed on		
3. Since this application a	IDDERFR to be in conditi	lan 4u -			
accordance with the pr	actice under Ex parte (Quavie, 1935 C.D. 44	pt for formal matters,	prosecution as to t	he merits is closed in
	,		400 U.G. 213.	*	

Serial Number: 07/977,702 -2-

Art Unit: 1812

1. A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies for the sequence listing are the same should be submitted (see 37 C.F.R. 1.821(f)).

2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In order to avoid possible confusion over proteins with the same or similar names and abbreviations that may be found to have patentably different structure and/or utility, the names of the proteins should be written out rather than abbreviated, i.e. VLA-4, VCAM-1, G-CSF, IL-1...

Claim 1 is indefinite and incomplete because a method claim should set forth the various method steps in a positive sequential manner. It is not known as to what is involved in "peripheralizing CD34" cells", and the specification does not provide a clear definition for the term, "peripheralization".

In claim 2, the list of blocking agents is confusing.

20 Inserting a semicolon before "fibronectin" and "soluble VCAM-1" would distinctly point out that there are three types of blocking agents. It is also not clear whether the Fab fragments or any anti-VLA-4 antibody is chimeric or humanized.

Serial Number: 07/977,702

Art Unit: 1812

10

20

In claim 3, the phrase, "at least a portion of the CD34" cells are hematopoietic stem cells", is vague and indefinite. It is unclear as to what percentage of must be hematopoietic stem cells in order for peripheralization to proceed efficiently.

-3-

3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as the disclosure is enabling only for claims limited to the blocking agent, anti-VLA-4 antibody and the cytokine, GM-CSF. See M.P.E.P. §§ 706.03(n) and 706.03(z).

The specification does not adequately teach peripheralizing CD34* cells using fibronectin, soluble VCAM-1, Fab fragments of the anti-VLA-4 antibody, or peptides and variants thereof.

Although these blocking agents are known to interfere with binding of CD34* cells to the stromal cells in vitro, applicant has not shown that these agents are capable of blocking VLA-4 antigen and peripheralizing CD34* cells in vivo. While data from in vitro assays are useful in screening for potentially useful agents, one cannot simply extrapolate the data to an in vivo system. The success of the claimed method is dependent on adequate concentrations of the agent reaching the desired site in vitro. There are many properties of these agents such as half-life, deactivation by the liver, rapid excretion, adverse side effects, etc. that cannot be ascertained by in vitro experiments.

Likewise, applicant has not provided evidence that other

Serial Number: 07/977,702 -4-

Art Unit: 1812

20

30

cytokines can peripheralize CD34° cells <u>in vivo</u>. Though G-CSF is successful in peripheralizing CD34° cells <u>in vivo</u>, it is not known whether the other cytokines are suitable for <u>in vivo</u> use for reasons previously discussed.

Thus, it would require undue experimentation of one of ordinary skill in the art to use the embodiments of the invention as claimed.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Haas et al. or Craig et al. in view of Anklesaria et al. or Williams et al. Haas et al. teach that GM-CSF increases the number of circulating hematopoietic progenitor cells in peripheral blood (see abstract) for successful

Art Unit: 1812

autologous transplantation of peripheral blood stem cells. Craiq et al. teach the use of GM-CSF, IL-3, or SCF to increase the number of circulating progenitor cells in peripheral blood (see page 61). However, neither Haas et al. nor Craig et al. teach the peripheralization of CD34 cells by blocking VLA-4 antigen with ligands. Anklesaria et al., or Williams et al. teach that the adhesion of the CD34 progenitor cells to bone marrow stromal cells is mediated by VLA-4/VCAM or fibronectin. Anklesaria et al. teach the use of VLA-4 ligands, such as VCAM, 10 the CS-1 peptide (an alternatively spliced non-type III connecting segment of fibronectin), and antibodies to VLA-4, to block the adhesion of CD34* cells to stroma. Williams et al. teach that monoclonal antibodies against the α_{\star} subunit of VLA-4 block adhesion of CFU-S stem cells to the C terminal fibronectin fragment (containing the CS-1 site) and polyclonal antibodies against the integrin &, subunit inhibit the formation of CFU-Sie derived spleen colonies and medullary hematopoiesis (see abstract). Since, during hematopoiesis, cells are confined to the bone marrow until released into the peripheral blood, it 20 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the stem cell mobilization procedure taught by Haas et al. or Craig et al. by blocking the VLA-4 antigen on CD34 cells with a ligand to inhibit the adhesion of the hematopoietic stem cells to the

Serial Number: 07/977,702 -6-

Art Unit: 1812

stroma cells and to induce their release into the peripheral blood, as taught by Anklesaria et al. or Williams et al., in order to more effectively increase the number of circulating hematopoietic stem cells for peripheral blood stem cell transplantation.

Cytokines are known to stimulate proliferation of stem cells and increase the number of circulating stem cells in peripheral blood; therefore, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to enhance mobilization of stem cells by using a blocking agent in combination with a cytokine. Since cytokines increase the number of CD34* and blocking agents induce release of these cells incto the peripheral blood, it would have been obvious to administer the cytokine before the addition of the blocking agent. Likewise, the use of any cytokine to stimulate proliferation of hematopoietic stem cells is obvious over the prior art.

Thus, the claims are prima facie obvious over the prior art.

5. No claims are allowed.

20

10

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sally Teng, Ph.D., at telephone number (703) 308-4230.

Serial Number: 07/977,702

Art Unit: 1812

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 180 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 180 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 308-4227.

10

June 21, 1993

GROUP 1800

-7-